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1- Offshoring – introduction

In this chapter:

• Definitions and examples

• How does offshoring alter the activities of the firm performed in a country?

• Impact of offshoring on labor markets in general equilibrium:
  - Demand for labor by skill category
  - Relative wage of workers at home and abroad.

• Gains from offshoring

• Some comments on the politics of offshoring.
1- Offshoring – introduction

“The provision of a service or the production of various parts of a good in different countries that are then used or assembled into a final good in another location is called foreign sourcing or, more simply, offshoring.”

• Offshoring is trade in intermediate inputs
  (may include goods and services)

• Similarity to immigration: firms are able to employ foreign workers, even though those workers do not have to leave their home countries.
1- Offshoring – introduction

Offshoring and fragmentation of production:
Some famous examples:

• iphone & ipods & ipads
• Airbus/Boeing
• Barbie doll
• T-shirts, etc.
Designed by Apple in California, Assembled in China (now also Brazil) by Taiwan-based Foxxconn and Pegatron
Fragmentation of production: the example of the Boeing 787 Dreamliner

- **Wing box**: Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (Japan)
- **Wing ice protection**: GKN Aerospace (UK)
- **Centre fuselage**: Alenia Aeronautica (Italy)
- **Forward fuselage**: Kawasaki Heavy Industries (Japan), Spirit Aerosystems (USA)
- **Rear fuselage**: Boeing South Carolina (USA)
- **Lavatories**: Jamco (Japan)
- **Doors & windows**: Zodiac Aerospace (USA), PPG Aerospace (USA)
- **Escape slides**: Air Cruisers (USA)
- **Raked wing tips**: Korean Airlines Aerospace division (Korea)
- **Horizontal Stabiliser**: Alenia Aeronautica (Italy)
- **Aux. power unit**: Hamilton Sundstrand (USA)
- **Passenger doors**: Latécoère Aéroservices (France)
- **Cargo doors**: Saab (Sweden)
- **Prepreg composites**: Toray (Japan)
- **Landing gear**: Messier-Dowty (France), Electric brakes: Messier-Bugatti (France), Tires: Bridgestone Tires (Japan)
- **Centre wing box**: Fuji Heavy Industries (Japan)
- **Centre wing box**: GE Engines (USA), Rolls Royce (UK)
- **Engine nacelles**: Goodrich (USA)
- **Vertical Stabiliser**: Boeing Commercial Airplanes (USA)
- **Flight deck seats**: Ipeco (UK)
- **Flight deck controls**: Esterline (USA), Moog (USA)
- **Tools/Software**: Dassault Systemes (France), Navigation: Honeywell (USA), Pilot control system: Rockwell Collins (USA), Wiring: Safran (France)

**Final assembly**: Boeing Commercial Airplanes (USA)
The “American” car:
Who adds value? (source: WTO 2008)

= 30%: assembly from Korea
+ 17.5%: components and adv. technology from Japan
+ 7.5%: design from Germany
+ 4%: minor parts from Taiwan and Singapore
+ 2.5%: advertising and marketing services from UK
+ 1.5%: data processing from Ireland and Barbados

+ 37% of remaining value is from U.S.
1- Offshoring – introduction

Tracking value added

… has become a challenge:

- Do imports from China measure how value is added by China to consumers goods in the US?

- **Example: iphone**
  - contributes to the US trade deficit with China?
  - The value of imports of iphone does not reflect the value added in China, and may actually reflect some of the value added by Japan, Korea, Malaysia… and the US.
iPhone: Made in China?

A product of global trade
According to estimates from a research paper, Apple’s iPhone added $1.9 billion to the U.S. trade deficit with China last year.

Parts come from many countries to be assembled in China...
Value of iPhone components and labor*

- Japan: 34%
- Germany: 17%
- South Korea: 13%
- China: 3.6%
- Others: 27%

$178.96
U.S.: 6%

... but the entire value of the iPhone counts against the U.S. trade deficit with China.
2009 U.S. trade balance in iPhones

Traditional approach
Value-added approach
With China
$48 mil. -$563 -$219 -$138 -$421
Without China
With Japan
With Germany
With S. Korea
With others

iPhones exported to U.S.

* Figures don’t add up to 100% due to rounding. Figures are estimates.
1- Offshoring – introduction

“Offshoring”

Other names:

- Fragmenting production
- Slicing up the value chain
- Disintegration of production
- Delocalization
- Global production sharing
- Unbundling
- Flattening of the world, etc.
A Second Globalization Revolution:

• You can consume goods from different parts of the world
  = First “unbundling”

• AND goods can be produced in different parts of the world
  = Second “unbundling”

→ Question: Same implications for wages and welfare?
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Now let’s start thinking about how to model offshoring

Key question: Which activities are being offshored?

a) Depends on the timing of the production process?

b) Or depends primarily on the worker qualification (skills) required for each task?
(a) Activities Ranked by Order in Production

Start  |  R&D  |  Component production  |  Assembly  |  Marketing and sales  |  Finish

(b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor

Low-skilled  |  Assembly  |  Component production  |  Marketing and sales  |  High-skilled  |  R&D
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Relative Wage of Skilled Workers

*Gains from offshoring: lower wages.*

Our first assumption is that Foreign unskilled-labor wages are less than those at Home, so

- \( W^*_L < W_L \)

Also, we assume that the relative wage of low-skilled labor is lower in Foreign than at Home, so

- \( W^*_L/W^*_H < W_L/W_H \).
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Costs of offshoring

The firm must also take into account extra costs of doing business there:

- Higher prices to build a factory
- Extra costs of communication or transportation.

We assume that these extra costs apply uniformly across all the activities in the value chain.

Communication costs & trade costs summarized by “T >1”

- Cost of high-skilled labor at home: $W_H$
- Cost of low-skilled labor at home: $W_L$
- Cost of offshoring high-skilled tasks: $T \cdot W^*_H$
- Cost of offshoring low-skilled tasks: $T \cdot W^*_L$
Clicker question

Which case is not possible?

a) \( T.W^*_L < W_L \) and \( T.W^*_H < W_H \)

b) \( T.W^*_L < W_L \) and \( T.W^*_H > W_H \)

c) \( T.W^*_L > W_L \) and \( T.W^*_H < W_H \)

d) \( T.W^*_L > W_L \) and \( T.W^*_H > W_H \)
Clicker question
Which case is not possible?

\[ c) \ T.W^*_L > W_L \ and \ T.W^*_H < W_H \]

otherwise we would have \( \frac{W_L}{W^*_L} < T < \frac{W_H}{W^*_H} \) which contradicts our assumption \( \frac{W^*_L}{W^*_H} < \frac{W_L}{W_H} \)

→ More likely case is:

\[ b) \ T.W^*_L < W_L \ and \ T.W^*_H > W_H \]

Which implies that low-skilled tasks are offshored while most skilled tasks are performed at home.
(b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor

- Low-skilled: Assembly
- Component production
- Marketing and sales
- R&D
- High-skilled
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Example of production function

• Production one unit of final good requires many tasks “s” ordered along an axis from s=0 to s=1.
• Each task “s” requires “s” skilled workers and “1-s” unskilled workers

→ Cost of a task “s”: \[ C(s) = sW_H + (1 - s)W_L \]

→ Price of final good if there is no offshoring:

\[
P_F = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} C(s) \, ds = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} \left[ sW_H + (1 - s)W_L \right] \, ds
\]
(b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor

Low-skilled

Assembly | Done in Foreign | Component production | Done at Home | Marketing and sales | R&D | High-skilled

s=0 → increasing s → s=1
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Which tasks “s” are offshored?

- With offshoring: \( T C^*(s) = TsW_H^* + T(1-s)W_L^* \)

- If you offshore task “s”, you can replace \( C(s) \) by \( T.C^*(s) \) in the calculation of the final good price

- Decision to offshore depends on “s”: skill intensity

- Firms try to minimize the final cost:

  \( \Rightarrow \) Hence offshore all tasks where: \( T.C^*(s) < C(s) \)
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Which tasks “s” are offshored?

• **Case 1:** If \( T < \frac{W_H}{W_H^*} < \frac{W_L}{W_L^*} \)

Then \( T.C^*(s) < C(s) \) for all “s”: **All tasks are offshored!**

• **Case 2:** If \( \frac{W_H}{W_H^*} < \frac{W_L}{W_L^*} < T \)

Then \( T.C^*(s) > C(s) \) for all “s”: **No task is offshored!**

• **Case 3:**

“Interesting” case is when \( \frac{W_H}{W_H^*} < T < \frac{W_L}{W_L^*} \)
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Which tasks “s” are offshored?

• **Case 3:** If \( \frac{W_H}{W_H^*} < T < \frac{W_L}{W_L^*} \)

Which tasks “s” are being offshored?
All tasks for which \( T.C^*(s) < C(s) \)
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Which tasks “s” are offshored?

• Case 3: If \( W_H / W_H^* < T < W_L / W_L^* \)

Offshore task “s” if it is below a threshold \( s^* \):

\[
Ts W_H^* + T(1 - s)W_L^* < sW_H + (1 - s)W_L
\]

\[
\iff s < \frac{W_L - TW_L^*}{(TW_H^* - W_H) + (W_L - TW_L^*)} \equiv s^* \in (0,1)
\]

→ Low-skill tasks \( s < s^* \) are offshored to Foreign,

→ High-skill tasks \( s > s^* \) are performed in Home
(b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor

- Low-skilled
  - Assembly
  - Component production

- Done in Foreign

- Done at Home
  - Marketing and sales
  - R&D

- High-skilled

\[ s=0 \quad s=S^* \quad s=1 \]
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)

Offshoring on the Value Chain
- Reduction in T leads to more offshoring, i.e. higher $s^*$
- A larger range of activities are now done in Foreign

Questions:
- Impact on relative demand for skilled workers?
- Impact on wage inequality in each country?
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)

Offshoring on the Value Chain
• Reduction in T → more offshoring, higher s*
• Activities between A and B are now done in Foreign.
Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in $T$)

These activities (between $A$ and $B$) are:
- more skill-intensive than the activities formerly done in Foreign (to the left of $A$)
- but less skill-intensive than the activities now done at Home (to the right of $B$).
Clicker question 1:

A reduction in offshoring costs induces:

a) An *increase* in the skill premium in *Home* and a *decrease* in the skill premium in *Foreign*

b) A *decrease* in the skill premium in *Home* and an *increase* in the skill premium in *Foreign*

c) An *increase* in the skill premium in *both* countries

d) A *decrease* in the skill premium in *both* countries
Clicker question 1:

A reduction in offshoring costs induces:

c) An *increase* in the skill premium in *both* countries
Clicker question 2:

Assuming that the skill-abundant country has a lower skill premium, how does the effect compare to the effect of trade in Heckscher-Ohlin theorem?

a) **Same** predictions for both countries

b) **Different** predictions for both countries

c) **Same** prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home) but different for the other country (Foreign)

d) **Different** prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home) but **same** prediction for the other country (Foreign)
Clicker question 2:

Assuming that the skill-abundant country has a lower skill premium, how does the effect compare to the effect of trade in Heckscher-Ohlin theorem?

c) Same prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home):  

*Increase in the skill premium in both models*

but different for the other country (Foreign):

*Decrease in the skill premium in HO for Foreign vs. Increase in the skill premium for Foreign with offshoring*
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)

Offshoring on the Value Chain

- Reduction in T \(\rightarrow\) more offshoring, higher \(s^*\)
- Activities between \(A\) and \(B\) are now done in Foreign.
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Change in Foreign Labor Demand and Relative Wage

(a) Home Country

As the least skill-intensive activities are outsourced, Home relative demand for skilled labor increases.

- Offshoring of the least skill-intensive activities:
- Hence the relative demand for skilled labor at Home increases,
- and the relative wage rises.
Change in Foreign Labor Demand and Relative Wage

• Activities shifted to Foreign are more skill intensive than those formerly done there.

• Hence the relative demand for skilled labor in Foreign also increases

• It follows that the relative wage for skilled labor in Foreign also rises, from point $A^*$ to point $B'$. 

Change in the Relative Demand for High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor (FOREIGN)
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Home:

• Number of skilled workers needed at Home?

• Number of unskilled workers needed at Home?
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Home:

- Number of skilled workers needed at Home:
  \[ L_H = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} sd\!s \]

- Number of unskilled workers needed at Home:
  \[ L_L = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} (1 - s) d\!s \]

[Note: exercises will focus on more simple cases]
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Home:

• Number of skilled workers needed at Home:
  \[ L_H = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} s \, ds = Q \cdot (1 - s^*)(1 + s^*) / 2 \]

• Number of unskilled workers needed at Home:
  \[ L_L = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} (1 - s) \, ds = Q \cdot (1 - s^*)^2 / 2 \]

Relative demand for skilled workers at Home:

\[ \frac{L_H}{L_L} = \frac{1 + s^*}{1 - s^*} \]

→ Increases when \( s^* \) increases,
→ Increases when \( T \) decreases.
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Foreign:

• Number of skilled workers needed in Foreign:
  \[ L^*_H = Q \int_{s=0}^{S^*} sds \]

• Number of unskilled workers needed in Foreign:
  \[ L^*_L = Q \int_{s=0}^{S^*} (1-s)ds \]
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Foreign:

• Number of skilled workers needed in Foreign:
  \[ L^*_H = Q \int_{s=0}^{s^*} s \, ds = Q \cdot (s^*)^2 / 2 \]

• Number of unskilled workers needed in Foreign:
  \[ L^*_L = Q \int_{s=0}^{s^*} (1-s) \, ds = Q \cdot s^* (2-s^*) / 2 \]

Relative demand for skilled workers at Home:

\[ \frac{L^*_H}{L^*_L} = \frac{s^*}{2 - s^*} \]

→ Also increases when \( s^* \) increases,
→ Also increases when \( T \) decreases!
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

- **In Home** because offshored jobs are relatively less skilled-labor intensive
- **In Foreign** because offshored jobs are relatively more skilled-labor intensive
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Skill premium increase

Intuition/paradox:
How can the same tasks be both “relatively less intensive” in Home and “relatively more intensive” in Foreign?
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Skill premium increase

= similar to the *Berkeley-2-Stanford-effect*™:

Suppose that the worst student in Berkeley goes to Stanford. How does that affect each university?
Skill premium increase

= similar to the *Berkeley-2-Stanford-effect™*:

Suppose that the worst student in Berkeley goes to Stanford. How does that affect each university?

→ Both universities gain from the transfer!!!

• UC Berkeley gains because it looses its worst student
• Stanford gains because UC Berkeley’s worst student is still much better than everyone else in Stanford!
• The same students can be both the worst and the best in different universities
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

- *In Home because offshored jobs are relatively less skilled-labor intensive*

- *In Foreign because offshored jobs are relatively more skilled-labor intensive*
2- A simple model of Offshoring

Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

➔ Not the same prediction as with Heckscher-Ohlin model

According to the H-O model, the relative demand for skilled labor should decrease in Foreign if Foreign is relatively less abundant in skilled labor.
Now:

- Does everyone gain from offshoring?
3- The Gains from Offshoring

- We have shown that offshoring can shift the relative demand for labor, and raise the skill premium.

Hence, offshoring will decrease the wage of unskilled workers *relative* to skilled workers.

- However, offshoring reduces production costs which, in a competitive market, reduces prices.

⇒ *Can offshoring benefit both types of workers?*
3- The Gains from Offshoring

Prices:
Price of final good with offshoring:

\[ P_F = \int_{s=0}^{s=s^*} TC^*(s)ds + \int_{s=s^*}^{1} C(s)ds < \int_{s=0}^{1} C(s)ds \]

is lower than the price without offshoring

Wage/Price:
• If the labor supply is “inelastic” (vertical), unskilled-labor wages will fall more than prices
• If the labor supply is “elastic” (flat), unskilled-labor wages will fall less than prices
Gains from Offshoring for unskilled workers?

- While skilled workers unambiguously gain, it’s more ambiguous for unskilled workers:

  (+) productivity gains
  (-) displacement from offshoring

→ Overall effect is ambiguous in theory
Conclusions:

- While skilled workers unambiguously gain.
- The skill premium increases.
- Yet, possible that even unskilled workers also gain from offshoring.