# Lecture 6a: Offshoring – part 1

Thibault FALLY C181 – International Trade Spring 2018

## 1- Offshoring – introduction

#### In this chapter:

- Definitions and examples
- How does offshoring alter the activities of the firm performed in a country?
- Impact of offshoring on labor markets in general equilibrium:
  - Demand for labor by skill category
  - Relative wage of workers at home and abroad.
- Gains from offshoring
- Some comments on the politics of offshoring.

"The provision of a service or the production of various parts of a good in different countries that are then used or assembled into a final good in another location is called **foreign sourcing** or, more simply, **offshoring**."

- Offshoring is trade in *intermediate inputs* (may include goods and services)
- Similarity to immigration: firms are able to employ foreign workers, even though those workers do not have to leave their home countries.

Offshoring and fragmentation of production: Some famous examples:

- iphone & ipods & ipads
- Airbus/Boeing
- Barbie doll
- T-shirts, etc.





Designed by Apple in California,

Assembled in China (now also Brazil) by Taiwan-based Foxxconn and Pegatron







#### Fragmentation of production: the example of the Boeing 787 Dreamliner



1- Offshoring – introduction

The "American" car:

Who adds value? (source: WTO 2008)

- = 30%: assembly from Korea
- + 17.5%: components and adv. technology from Japan
- + 7.5%: design from Germany
- + 4%: minor parts from Taiwan and Singapore
- + 2.5%: advertising and marketing services from UK
- + 1.5%: data processing from Ireland and Barbados
- + 37% of remaining value is from U.S.

### 1- Offshoring – introduction

Tracking value added

... has become a challenge:

- Do imports from China measure how value is added by China to consumers goods in the US?
- Example: iphone
- contributes to the US trade deficit with China?
- The value of imports of iphone does not reflect the value added in China, and may actually reflect some of the value aded by Japan, Korea, Malaysia... and the US.

# iPhone: Made in China?



\* Figures don't add up to 100% due to rounding. Figures are estimates.

Source: Xing, Y., and N. Detert. 2010. How the iPhone Widens the United States Trade Deficit with the People's Republic of China. ADBI Working Paper 257. Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute.

#### WSJ 12.15.10

## 1- Offshoring – introduction

"Offshoring"

Other names:

- Fragmenting production
- Slicing up the value chain
- Disintegration of production
- Delocalization
- Global production sharing
- Unbundling
- Flattening of the world, etc.

A Second Globalization Revolution:

- You can consume goods from different parts of the world
  = First "unbundling"
- AND goods can be produced in different parts of the world
  = Second "unbundling"

→ Question: Same implications for wages and welfare?

Now let's start thinking about how to model offshoring

Key question: Which activities are being offshored?

- a) Depends on the timing of the production process?
- b) Or depends primarily on the worker qualification (skills) required for each task?



(b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor



**Relative Wage of Skilled Workers** 

Gains from offshoring: lower wages.

Our first assumption is that Foreign unskilled-labor wages are less than those at Home, so

• 
$$W_{L}^{*} < W_{L}$$

Also, we assume that the relative wage of low-skilled labor is lower in Foreign than at Home, so

•  $W_{L}^{*}/W_{H}^{*} < W_{L}/W_{H}$ .

#### Costs of offshoring

The firm must also take into account extra costs of doing business there:

- Higher prices to build a factory
- Extra costs of communication or transportation.

We assume that these extra costs apply uniformly across all the activities in the value chain.

Communication costs & trade costs summarized by "T >1"

- Cost of high-skilled labor at home: W<sub>H</sub>
- Cost of low-skilled labor at home: W<sub>L</sub>
- Cost of offshoring high-skilled tasks:  $T \cdot W_{H}^{*}$
- Cost of offshoring low-skilled tasks: T. W<sup>\*</sup><sub>L</sub>

#### **Clicker** question

Which case is **not** possible?

**Clicker** question

Which case is **not** possible?

c)  $T.W_{L}^{*} > W_{L}$  and  $T.W_{H}^{*} < W_{H}$ 

otherwise we would have  $W_L / W_L^* < T < W_H / W_H^*$ which contradicts our assumption  $W_L^* / W_H^* < W_L / W_H^*$ 

 $\rightarrow$  More likely case is:

b)  $T.W_{L}^{*} < W_{L}$  and  $T.W_{H}^{*} > W_{H}$ 

Which implies that low-skilled tasks are offshored while most skilled tasks are performed at home.



#### Example of production function

- Production one unit of final good requires many tasks "s" ordered along an axis from s=0 to s=1.
- Each task "s" requires "s" skilled workers and "1-s" unskilled workers

→ Cost of a task "s": 
$$C(s) = sW_H + (1-s)W_L$$

 $\rightarrow$  Price of final good if there is no offshoring:

$$P_F = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} C(s) ds = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} [sW_H + (1-s)W_L] ds$$

#### (b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor



Which tasks "s" are offshored?

- With offshoring:  $TC^*(s) = TsW_H^* + T(1-s)W_L^*$
- If you offshore task "s", you can replace C(s) by T.C\*(s) in the calculation of the final good price
- Decision to offshore depends on "s": skill intensity
- Firms try to minimize the final cost:

 $\rightarrow$  Hence offshore all tasks where:  $T.C^*(s) < C(s)$ 

Which tasks "s" are offshored?

• **Case 1**: If  $T < W_H / W_H^* < W_L / W_L^*$ 

Then T.C\*(s) < C(s) for all "s": All tasks are offshored!

• **Case 2:** If  $W_H / W_H^* < W_L / W_L^* < T$ 

Then T.C\*(s) > C(s) for all "s": No task is offshored!

• Case 3:

"Interesting" case is when  $W_H/W_H^* < T < W_L/W_L^*$ 

Which tasks "s" are offshored?

• Case 3: If  $W_H / W_H^* < T < W_L / W_L^*$ 

Which tasks "s" are being offshored? All tasks for which T.C\*(s) < C(s)

Which tasks "s" are offshored?

• **Case 3:** If  $W_H / W_H^* < T < W_L / W_L^*$ 

Offshore task "s" if it is below a threshold s\*:

$$TsW_{H}^{*} + T(1-s)W_{L}^{*} < sW_{H} + (1-s)W_{L}$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow s < \frac{W_{L} - TW_{L}^{*}}{(TW_{H}^{*} - W_{H}) + (W_{L} - TW_{L}^{*})} \equiv s^{*} \in (0,1)$$

→ Low-skill tasks s<s\* are offshored to Foreign, → High-skill tasks s>s\* are performed in Home

#### (b) Activities Ranked by High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor



Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)

#### Offshoring on the Value Chain

- Reduction in T leads to more offshoring, i.e. higher s\*
- A larger range of activities are now done in Foreign

#### Questions:

- Impact on relative demand for skilled workers?
- Impact on wage inequality in each country?

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)



Offshoring on the Value Chain

- Reduction in T → more offshoring, higher s\*
- Activities between A and B are now done in Foreign.

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)



These activities (*between A and B*) are:

- more skill-intensive than the activities formerly done in Foreign (to the left of A)
- but less skill-intensive than the activities now done at Home (*to the right of B*).

Clicker question 1:

A reduction in offshoring costs induces:

- a) An *increase* in the skill premium in *Home* and a *decrease* in the skill premium in *Foreign*
- b) A *decrease* in the skill premium in *Home* and an *increase* in the skill premium in *Foreign*
- c) An *increase* in the skill premium in *both* countries
- d) A *decrease* in the skill premium in *both* countries

Clicker question 1:

A reduction in offshoring costs induces:

c) An *increase* in the skill premium in *both* countries

Clicker question 2:

Assuming that the skill-abundant country has a lower skill premium, how does the effect compare to the effect of trade in Heckscher-Ohlin theorem?

- *a) Same* predictions for both countries
- **b) Different** predictions for both countries
- *c)* Same prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home) but *different* for the other country (Foreign)
- *Different* prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home) but *same* prediction for the other country (Foreign)

Clicker question 2:

Assuming that the skill-abundant country has a lower skill premium, how does the effect compare to the effect of trade in Heckscher-Ohlin theorem?

*c) Same* prediction for the skill-abundant country (Home): *INcrease in the skill premium in both models* 

but *different* for the other country (Foreign): DEcrease in the skill premium in HO for Foreign vs. INcrease in the skill premium for Foreign with offshoring

Changing the Costs of Trade (decrease in T)



Offshoring on the Value Chain

- Reduction in T → more offshoring, higher s\*
- Activities between A and B are now done in Foreign.

#### Change in Foreign Labor Demand and Relative Wage



Change in the Relative Demand for High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor (HOME)

- Offshoring of the least skill-intensive activities:
- Hence the relative demand for skilled labor at Home increases,
- and the relative wage rises.

#### Change in Foreign Labor Demand and Relative Wage



Change in the Relative Demand for High-skilled/Low-skilled Labor (FOREIGN)

- Activities shifted to Foreign are more skill intensive than those formerly done there.
- Hence the relative demand for skilled
  labor in Foreign also increases
- It follows that the relative wage for skilled labor in Foreign also rises, from point A<sup>\*</sup> to point B<sup>\*</sup>.

#### Home:

- Number of skilled workers needed at Home?
- Number of unskilled workers needed at Home?

#### Home:

- Number of skilled workers needed at Home:  $L_H = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} s ds$
- Number of unskilled workers needed at Home:

$$L_{L} = Q \int_{s=s^{*}}^{1} (1-s) ds$$

[Note: exercises will focus on more simple cases]

#### Home:

- Number of skilled workers needed at Home:  $L_{H} = Q \int_{s=s^{*}}^{1} s ds = Q \cdot (1-s^{*})(1+s^{*})/2$
- Number of unskilled workers needed at Home:  $L_L = Q \int_{s=s^*}^{1} (1-s) ds = Q \cdot (1-s^*)^2 / 2$

Relative demand for skilled workers at Home:

$$\frac{L_H}{L_L} = \frac{1+s^*}{1-s^*}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Increases when s<sup>\*</sup> increases,

 $\rightarrow$  Increases when T decreases.

Foreign:

• Number of skilled workers needed in Foreign:

$$L^*_H = Q \int_{s=0}^s s ds :$$

• Number of unskilled workers needed in Foreign:

$$L^*_L = Q \int_{s=0}^{s^*} (1-s) ds$$

Foreign:

• Number of skilled workers needed in Foreign:

$$L^*_{H} = Q \int_{s=0}^{s} s ds = Q \cdot (s^*)^2 / 2$$

• Number of unskilled workers needed in Foreign:  $L^*_L = Q \int_{s=0}^{s^*} (1-s) ds = Q \cdot s^* (2-s^*) / 2$ 

Relative demand for skilled workers at Home:

$$\frac{L^{*}_{H}}{L^{*}_{L}} = \frac{s^{*}}{2-s^{*}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Also increases when s<sup>\*</sup> increases,

 $\rightarrow$  Also increases when T decreases!

#### Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

- In Home because offshored jobs are relatively less skilled-labor intensive
- In Foreign because offshored jobs are relatively more skilled-labor intensive

Skill premium increase

Intuition/paradox:

How can the same tasks be both "relatively less intensive" in Home and "relatively more intensive" in Foreign?

Skill premium increase

= similar to the *Berkeley-2-Stanford-effect*™:

Suppose that the worst student in Berkeley goes to Stanford. How does that affect each university?

Skill premium increase

= similar to the *Berkeley-2-Stanford-effect*™:

Suppose that the worst student in Berkeley goes to Stanford. How does that affect each university?

 $\rightarrow$  Both universities gain from the transfer!!!

- UC Berkeley gains because it looses its worst student
- Stanford gains because UC Berkeley's worst student is still much better than everyone else in Stanford!
- The same students can be both the worst and the best in different universities

#### Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

- In Home because offshored jobs are relatively less skilled-labor intensive
- In Foreign because offshored jobs are relatively more skilled-labor intensive

Skill premium increase

In sum, the skill premium increases in both countries:

➔ Not the same prediction as with Heckscher-Ohlin model

According to the H-O model, the relative demand for skilled labor should decrease in Foreign if Foreign is relatively less abundant in skilled labor.

#### 3- The Gains from Offshoring

Now:

• Does everyone gain from offshoring?

• We have shown that offshoring can shift the relative demand for labor, and raise the skill premium.

Hence, offshoring will decrease the wage of unskilled workers *relative* to skilled workers

- However, offshoring reduces production costs which, in a competitive market, reduces prices.
- → Can offshoring benefit both types of workers?

### 3- The Gains from Offshoring

#### Prices:

Price of final good with offshoring:

$$P_F = \int_{s=0}^{s=s^*} TC^*(s) ds + \int_{s=s^*}^{1} C(s) ds < \int_{s=0}^{1} C(s) ds$$

is lower than the price without offshoring

#### Wage/Price:

- If the labor supply is "inelastic" (vertical), unskilled-labor wages will fall more than prices
- If the labor supply is "elastic" (flat), unskilled-labor wages will fall less than prices

Gains from Offshoring for unskilled workers?

• While skilled workers unambiguously gain, it's more ambiguous for unskilled workers:

(+) productivity gains(-) displacement from offshoring

 $\rightarrow$  Overall effect is ambiguous in theory

### 3- The Gains from Offshoring

#### Gains from Offshoring

Conclusions:

- While skilled workers unambiguously gain
- The skill premium increases
- Yet, possible that even unskilled workers also gain from offshoring