



MATTHEW A. TARDUNO

Contact Information	318 Giannini Hall, UC Berkeley tarduno@berkeley.edu https://matt-tarduno.github.io +1-585-880-4777						
Doctoral Studies	University of California, Berkeley PhD, Agricultural and Resource Economics, Expected completion May 2022 Graduate Student Researcher, the Energy Institute at Haas						
	FIELDS: Environmental Economics, Public Economics						
	sallee@ +1 (773 Departn & Resor <u>Professo</u> mlander Departn	or James Sallee berkeley.edu) 316-3480 nent of Agricultural urce Economics or Michael Anderson rson@berkeley.edu nent of Agricultural urce Economics	rv + D	rofessor Reed valker@berke 1 (510) 965-3 epartment of aas School of	eley.edu 298 Economics		
Placement Officers	Professor Sofia Villas-Boas sberto@berkeley.edu +1 (510) 409-4341		Professor Max Auffhammer auffhammer@berkeley.edu +1 (510) 643-5472		<u>Diana Lazo</u> lazo@berkeley.edu +1 (510) 642-3345		
Prior Education	Williams College		B.A. Mathematics, Economics		2016		
Teaching	MBA Microeconomics Intermediate Microeconomics		Haas School of Business (James Sallee) Dept. of Agricultural & Resource Economics (Calanit Kamala)		2021 2018		
Grants, Fellowships,	2021 Research Fellow, <i>Law, Economics, and Politics Center at UC Berkeley</i> , Berkeley XLab Research Grant						
and Awards	2020 Research Fellow, <i>Law, Economics, and Politics Center at UC Berkeley.</i> Berkeley XLab Research Grant, Sacheti Family Fellowship.						

Research"For Whom the Bridge Tolls: Congestion, Air Pollution, and Second-Best Road Pricing"PapersJOB MARKET PAPER. [Most Recent Version]

Cities are increasingly adopting road pricing policies to address the congestion and air pollution externalities associated with urban driving. A first-best road pricing scheme would charge drivers according to the social damages associated with each trip. In practice, road pricing often takes the form of cordon zones — regions in the center of a city where road users are charged for entry. These pricing schemes deviate from the first-best policy in two key ways: First, feasible cordon systems cannot account for all of the heterogeneity in trip-level externalities. Second, cordon zones leave nearby roads unpriced, allowing for externality leakage. As a result, it is generally unclear how to optimally set cordon prices. In this paper, I adapt models from public finance to demonstrate how to optimally set cordon prices in the face of these policy imperfections. Calculating optimal prices requires information about (i) the heterogeneity in marginal trip-level externalities, (ii) the relationship between these externalities and individual price-responsiveness, and (iii) the elasticity of substitution between priced and unpriced trips. I then use administrative microdata from bridge tolls in the San Francisco Bay Area to back out each of these parameters. Armed with this model of urban driving demand, I calculate optimal prices for planned cordon zones in three cities — San Francisco, Los Angeles, and New York. In each city, I find that leakage drives optimal peak-hour prices (\$2-7) well below average social damages (\$4-12). Due to the blunt nature of cordon pricing, these policies are relatively ineffective at internalizing congestion and pollution externalities. In these three cities, I estimate that second-best optimal cordon prices recover 15 to 40% of the welfare gains that would be achieved under an ideal Pigouvian policy. To conclude, I discuss the prospects for improving the performance of congestion pricing through expanding spatial coverage or allowing for granular time-of-day pricing.

Tarduno, Matthew. **"The Congestion Costs of Uber and Lyft"** *The Journal of Urban Economics*, 2021, 122, 103318. [Publication][Ungated]

Abstract: I study the impact of transportation network companies (TNC) on traffic delays using a natural experiment created by the abrupt departure of Uber and Lyft from Austin, TX. Applying difference in differences and regression discontinuity specifications to high-frequency traffic data, I estimate that Uber and Lyft together decreased daytime traffic speeds in Austin by roughly 2.3%. Using Austin-specific measures of the value of travel time, I translate these slowdowns to estimates of citywide congestion costs that range from \$33 to \$52 million dollars annually. Back of the envelope calculations imply that these costs are similar in magnitude to the consumer surplus provided by TNCs in Austin. Together these results suggest that while TNCs may impose modest travel time externalities, restricting or taxing TNC activity is unlikely to generate large net welfare gains through reduced congestion.

Research in "What Drives Support for Inefficient Environmental Policies?" [Pilot Results]

Progress

Negative externalities are often regulated with performance standards (e.g., fuel economy standards) where economic theory suggests that price-based mechanisms (e.g., fuel taxes) offer a more efficient alternative. The relative popularity of performance-based policies is puzzling: Given the cost-effectiveness of Pigouvian taxation and the ability of governments to pair these policies with redistribution, it should be possible to construct a price-based regulation that dominates a performance-based alternative on at least one of the three dimensions of efficacy, fairness, or cost, holding fixed the others. In this paper, I use an information provision experiment to understand what drives differences in voter support for these two policy types. Specifically, this experiment allows me to answer two questions: How do voters' perceptions of policy cost, effectiveness, and regressivity influence policy support? And do misperceptions of policy attributes explain the relative popularity of nontax corrective policies? Preliminary results from a pilot experiment conducted around a 2020 energy ballot initiative suggest that voters overestimate the effectiveness of performance-based policies at reducing carbon emissions. Oaxaca-blinder decompositions, however, suggest that differences in beliefs about policy attributes explain only a quarter of the difference in support for performance vs. price-based policies. As a result, neither rectifying misperceptions about policy attributes, nor redesigning price-based policies to compensate swing voters appear likely to significantly bolster support for price-based corrective policies.

"Understanding the role of information in the willingness to pay for clean air" with Reed Walker

"Can targeted rebates foster equity in congestion pricing schemes?" with James Sallee

Prior Employment	Stanford University	Research Assistant to Marcella Alsan	2016-2017
Talks	2	ent and Resource Economics Seminar (2020, 2021); NC State Camp Resonomics and Politics Center (2021); Giannini Agricultural and Resource 19).	
Activities	0	nd Resource Economics Student Conference (Organizer) Equity, and Inclusion Committee (Pedagogy Subcomittee Member) as Committee	2020 2020-2021 2021