Managing Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California

California Climate Change Center UC Berkeley

David Roland-Holst


Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics UC Berkeley, dwrh@berkeley.edu

10 February 2006

Objectives

- 1. Improve visibility for policy makers.
- 2. Rigorously estimate direct and indirect impacts and identify adjustment effects (BEAR).
- 3. Promote empirical standards for policy research and dialogue.

Doing Nothing is Not an Option



Why a state model?

- 1. California needs research capacity to support its own policies
 - A first-tier world economy
- 2. California is unique
 - Both economic structure and emissions patterns differ from national averages
- 3. California stakeholders need more accurate information about the adjustment process
 - National assessment masks extensive interstate spillovers and trade-offs

10 February 2006

Why a General Equilibrium Model?

- <u>Complexity</u> Given the complexity of today's economy, policy makers relying on intuition and rules-of-thumb alone are assuming substantial risks.
- 2. <u>Linkage</u> Indirect effects of policies often outweigh direct effects.
- *3. <u>Political sustainability</u>* Economic policy may be made from the top down, but political consequences are often felt from the bottom up. These models identify stakes and stakeholders *before* policies are implemented.

Model Structure

The modeling facility consists of two components:

- Detailed economic and emissions data (2003)
 - 125, 170 sectors
 - 10 household groups (by tax bracket)
 - detailed fiscal accounts
 - 14 emission categories
- 2. Berkeley Energy And Resource (BEAR) Model – a dynamic GE forecasting model

Economy-Environment Linkage

Economic activity affects pollution in three ways:


- <u>Growth</u> aggregate growth increases resource use
- 2. <u>Composition</u> changing sectoral composition of economic activity can change aggregate pollution intensity
- *3. <u>Technology</u>* any activity can change its pollution intensity with technological change

All three components interact to determine the ultimate effect of the economy on environment.

Salient Energy Features

Production

- Input, output, and consumption based pollution modeling
- Nested CES for energy sources
- Extensively parameterized for efficiency/productivity
- Consumption
 - 'technology" of consumption/pollution
 - detailed residential and transport modules
- Energy
 - differentiated and flexible generation portfolios
 - CES fuel substitution and vintage capital
 - energy trading



10 February 2006

California Climate Change Center

Roland-Holst 9

Economic Data 1

California Social Accounting Matrix (2003)

- An economy-wide accounting device that captures detailed income-expenditure linkages between economic institutions. An extension of input-output analysis.
- 170 sectors/commodities
- Three factor types
 - Labor (2+ occupational categories)
 - Capital
 - Land
- Households (10 by tax bracket)
- Fed, State, and Local Government (very detailed fiscal instruments, 45 currently)
- Consolidated capital account
- US and ROW trading partners

Economic Data 2


Satellite Accounts

- Employment
- Econometrically estimated parameters
- Trends for calibration
 - Population and other labor force composition
 - Independent macro trends (CA, US, ROW, etc.)
 - Productivity growth trends
 - Exogenous prices (energy and other commodities)
 - Baseline ("business as usual") pollution growth

How we Forecast

BEAR is being developed in four components and implemented over two time horizons. California Components: **GE Model Technology** 1. Core GE model Transport **Electricity** 2. Technology module **Sector** Sector 3. Electricity modeling 4. Transportation component

Detailed Methodology



What is a General Equilibrium Model?

- Detailed market and non-market interactions in a consistent empirical framework.
- Linkages between behavior, incentives, and policies reveal detailed demand, supply, and resource use responses to external shocks and policy changes.

Electricity Sector Modeling

Power generation accounts for a significant percentage of CO2 emissions within California.

Based on detailed producer data from CEC/PIER/PROSYM, we model technology and emissions in California's electricity sector

- Eight generation technologies
- Eleven fuels

Transportation Modeling

- The transport sector accounts for up to 48% of California CO2 emissions
- To meet our emission goals, patterns of vehicle use and technology adoption need to be better understood:
- You can contribute to this effort:

www.carchoice.org

Time Horizons

BEAR is being developed for scenario analysis over two time horizons:

1. Policy horizon: 2005-2025

Detailed structural change:

- 1. 125, 170 sectors
- 2. 10 household income groups
- 3. Labor by occupation and capital by vintage

2. Climate horizon: 2005-2100

Aggregated:

- 1. 10 sectors
- 2. 3 income groups
- 3. labor and capital


Economy-Environment Linkage

Economic activity affects pollution in three ways:

- <u>Growth</u> aggregate growth increases resource use
- <u>Composition</u> changing sectoral composition of economic activity can change aggregate pollution intensity
- *3. <u>Technology</u>* any activity can change its pollution intensity with technological change
- All three components interact to determine the ultimate effect of the economy on environment.

10 February 2006

GHGs are about Energy




Nationally, electricity generation is responsible for 34 percent of all GHG emissions and 40 percent of all CO2 emissions.

10 February 2006

Climate Action Policies Analyzed

- 1. Building Efficiency
- 2. Vehicle Emission Standards
- 3. HFC Reduction
- 4. Manure Management
- 5. Semiconductors
- 6. Landfill Management
- 7. Afforestation
- 8. Cement Manufacturing

Only Eight Measures Achieve Half of California's GHG Targets



10 February 2006

Roland-Holst 21

Climate Action with Growth

	GHG MMT	Percent of Goal	GSP Millions	Jobs
2010	-19	-35	4,950	8,340
2020	-83	-49	58,800	20,350

Source: Author's estimates from the BEAR Model.


10 February 2006

Renewable Energy Portfolio


This research examines scenarios for increased use of renewable fuels in electricity generation.

We are currently studying marketbased policies for voluntary adoption.


Baseline CO2 Emissions and Output by Generation Technology



Baseline CO2 Emissions and Output by Fuel Type



Emissions and Output: Market-based Renewable Scenario



10 February 2006

California Climate Change Center



3. Carbon Cap/Tax and Trade

We examine four scenarios:

- 1. CAP1 2000 emissions by 2010, Business as Usual (BAU) efficiency
- 2. CAP2 1990 emissions by 2020, BAU
- 3. CAP3 CAP1 with historic (2.5%/yr) efficiency gains
- 4. CAP4 CAP2 with learning-by-doing (4%/yr) efficiency gains

California's Goals are Attainable

Preliminary: Do Not Quote

Aggregate Results (percent change from Baseline in 2020)

	CAP1	CAP2	CAP3	CAP4	
Real GSP	-2.68	-6.44	01	.28	Jobs
Employment	-4.88	-11.65	01	.52	99,488
Consumption	.77	4.46	-00	-09	
Gov Exp	2.25	8.06	.00	06	
CO2 HH	-46.17	-71.84	-29.05	-45.78	
CO2 Ind	-20.99	-35.89	-28.98	-48.06	
CO2 Total	-29.00	-47.33	-29.00	-47.33	

10 February 2006

Other Ongoing BEAR Applications

- Non-CO2 Gases an important and less understood component of GHG
- Combined Heat and Power Moderate gains in statewide efficiency, benefits outweigh costs
- Carbon sequestration A complex portfolio choice among alternative storage media, but significant potential benefits
- Conservation A very large energy "resource," but technology adoption needs to be better understood

Three Economic Principles

- 1. Demand Shifting: New demand is more likely to be for California goods and services.
- 2. Benefits Exceed Costs: Direct adjustment costs seem high to stakeholders in the short term, but these are usually outweighed by many indirect statewide benefits.
- 3. Early Action Pays: Conversion costs are fixed, but benefits compound like interest.

Innovation, Efficiency, Growth

- California is the world's premiere innovation economy.
- Efficiency is a potent stimulus for economic growth.
- Energy, transportation, and others can join IT, Biotech, and California's knowledge-intensive state industries to establish global standards for more sustainable economic growth.

Thank you.

10 February 2006

California Climate Change Center

Roland-Holst 32