

Answer key 8

6. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, one-to-one and onto

\Rightarrow ① f is strictly increasing: $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y)$

or ② f is strictly decreasing: $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) > f(y)$

let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $a < b < c$. we'll show $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$ or $f(a) > f(b) > f(c)$:

1. Suppose $f(b) > \max\{f(a), f(c)\}$.

claim: Then $\exists z \in (\max\{f(a), f(c)\}, f(b))$ and $\exists x_1 \in (a, b)$,

$\exists x_2 \in (b, c)$ s.t. $z = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$.

(this follows from the intermediate value theorem:

Since f is continuous, then $a < b$, $f(a) < f(b)$ implies

$\forall y \in (f(a), f(b)), \exists x \in (a, b)$ s.t. $f(x) = y$.)

but then we have $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$, which contradicts f one-to-one.

2. Suppose $f(b) = \max\{f(a), f(c)\}$. But then either $f(b) = f(a)$ or $f(b) = f(c)$, while $a \neq b \neq c$ so f one-to-one again contradicted.

3. Suppose $f(b) = \min\{f(a), f(c)\}$. Same reasoning as 2: contradiction.

4. Suppose $f(b) < \min\{f(a), f(c)\}$.

Then, like in 1, $\exists z \in (f(b), \min\{f(a), f(c)\})$ and $\exists x_1 \in (a, b)$

and $\exists x_2 \in (b, c)$ s.t. $z = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, violating one-to-one.

Therefore we've shown $\min\{f(a), f(c)\} < f(b) < \max\{f(a), f(c)\}$.

Since a, b, c were arbitrary, we have the following two possibilities:

1) $x < y < z \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y) < f(z) \Rightarrow f$ strictly increasing.

or, 2) $x < y < z \Rightarrow f(x) > f(y) > f(z) \Rightarrow f$ strictly decreasing.

Q.E.D.