

CONTENTS

7. Implicit Function Theorem and the Envelope Theorem (cont)	1
7.5. Inverse function theorem	1

7. IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM AND THE ENVELOPE THEOREM (CONT)

7.5. Inverse function theorem

The inverse function theorem is a special case of the implicit function theorem. It applies to the case where $n = m$, i.e., same number of α 's as x 's and $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x})$, i.e., for each i , $f^i = \alpha_i - \eta^i(\mathbf{x})$ or $\alpha_i = \eta^i(\mathbf{x})$.

Theorem: Given $\boldsymbol{\eta} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, If the determinant of $J\boldsymbol{\eta}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$ is not zero, then there exists a neighborhood of $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and a differentiable function $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that on this neighborhood

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \\ \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial(\eta^{-1})^m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \end{bmatrix} = \left(J\boldsymbol{\eta}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) \right)^{-1}$$

We'll do it intuitively first, in one dimension. Suppose you have a function $\eta : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ that is invertible, ($x = \eta(\alpha)$); i.e., every point in the range is associated with a unique point in the domain. In this case, you can certainly write α as a function of x ; that is, define the function $\eta^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\eta^{-1}(x)$ picks out the α value that η took to x ; mathematically, η^{-1} is defined by the condition that $\eta^{-1}(\eta(\alpha)) = \alpha$

- The closed form of the inverse may be very hard to compute, e.g., suppose $x = \exp^\alpha \times \sqrt{\sin(\alpha)/\cos(\alpha)}$.
Could in principle define α as a function of x , but it could get messy.
- Easier to use the inverse function theorem, which says that $\partial\eta^{-1}(\cdot)/\partial x = (\partial\eta(\cdot)/\partial\alpha)^{-1}$.

Example: $x = \eta(\alpha) = 1/\alpha$, so that $\partial\eta(\cdot)/\partial\alpha = -1/\alpha^2$;

- first we'll take the derivative of the inverse by hand: we have

$$- \alpha = \eta^{-1}(x) = 1/x,$$

$$- \partial\eta^{-1}(\cdot)/\partial x = -1/x^2;$$

- now use the inverse function theorem:

$$- \partial\eta(\cdot)/\partial\alpha = -1/\alpha^2;$$

$$- \text{applying the inverse function theorem } \partial\eta^{-1}(\cdot)/\partial x = (\partial\eta(\cdot)/\partial\alpha)^{-1} = (-1/\alpha^2)^{-1} = -\alpha^2;$$

$$- \text{substitute } x \text{ for } \alpha \text{ to obtain } \partial\eta^{-1}(\cdot)/\partial x = -1/x^2;$$

Now return to the formalism of the implicit function theorem. When $m = n$, the expression from the last lecture becomes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial g^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \frac{\partial g^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial g^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial g^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \end{bmatrix} = -\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{x})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))}{\partial \alpha_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{x}) = J^{\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

When $m = n$, we can exchange $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and \mathbf{x} as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = -\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) &= -J^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \eta^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial \eta^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta^1(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \frac{\partial \eta^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial \eta^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta^2(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \eta^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_1} & \frac{\partial \eta^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta^n(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha_n} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice how the two minus signs cancel each other out. Notice also that *all* we have done here is to switch the endog and the exog variables.

We're no longer going to worry about whether the function is *globally* invertible, and just talk about when it's *locally* invertible, i.e., when $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ defined above is an invertible matrix. In the special case where $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, the second matrix in equation (??) becomes the identity matrix and we have, locally, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = -\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1} = \left(J^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right)^{-1} \tag{1}$$

When $m = n = 1$, this reduces, of course, to $\alpha = (\eta'(x))^{-1}$.

Here's an economic example of how the inverse and implicit function theorems might be combined: consider a joint production function $\boldsymbol{\zeta} : \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$, where inputs \mathbf{x} and outputs \mathbf{q} are elements of \mathbb{R}^n . First let's construct the *cost function* $C(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})$, where \mathbf{x} is chosen to minimize costs given input prices \mathbf{w} . That is, $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})$ solves the NPP

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{q} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{x})$$

To solve this, set up the Lagrangian:

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^i (q^i - \zeta^i(\mathbf{x}))$$

The first order conditions for L give us $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})$. Moreover, $C(\mathbf{q}) = L(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \mathbf{w})$. Finally, the *marginal cost function* is given by $\text{MC}(\mathbf{q}) = \left[\frac{\partial C(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial C(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_n} \right]$, and

$$\frac{\partial C(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{\partial x_j(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_i}$$

where, applying the implicit function theorem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial x_1(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_n} \\ \frac{\partial x_2(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial x_2(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_2(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial x_n(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_n} \end{bmatrix} = - \left(\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q})) \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_n} \\ \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial q_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q})) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{x_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_n} \\ \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial L_{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}))}{\partial \lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now suppose the firm using $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is a competitive firm, so that it sets $\text{MC}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{p}$. Ultimately, we want to know how the vector of factors with \mathbf{p} . Set $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{p} - \text{MC}(\mathbf{q})$ and note that \mathbf{h} is identically zero. I.e., $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q})$ is of the form $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \mathbf{x})$. So here's a case in which the economics gives us \mathbf{p} in terms

of \mathbf{q} , i.e., the exogenous variable in terms of the endogenous variable. We of course want to know the reverse relationship, i.e., how \mathbf{q} changes as prices change. To get this, we apply the *inverse* function theorem to obtain the matrix of $\frac{dq_j}{dp_i}$'s.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial q^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_n} \\ \frac{\partial q^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial q^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial q^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial p_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial MC^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial MC^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial MC^1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_n} \\ \frac{\partial MC^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial MC^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial MC^2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial MC^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial MC^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial MC^n(\mathbf{x})}{\partial q_n} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$