

L Karp  
Open economy microeconomics  
August 8, 2008

### Solution Problem Set 1

1) Given prices  $p_1, p_2$  one consumption bundle  $(a, b)$  costs  $p_1a + p_2b$ . Given wage  $w$ , a person who sells one unit of labor can afford to buy  $n$  consumption bundles, where  $n$  solves

$$\begin{aligned}w &= n(p_1a + p_2b) \Rightarrow \\n &= \frac{w}{(p_1a + p_2b)} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) + \left(\frac{b}{p_2}\right)}. \Rightarrow \\dn &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) + \left(\frac{b}{p_2}\right)^2} \left( \frac{a}{\left(\frac{w}{p_1}\right)^2} \left( d\left(\frac{w}{p_1}\right) \right) + \frac{b}{\left(\frac{w}{p_2}\right)^2} \left( d\left(\frac{w}{p_2}\right) \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

I used the quotient rule twice to obtain the last equality. If  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_1}\right)$  and  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_2}\right)$  are of the same sign, then  $dn$  (the change in the number of consumption bundles) is also of the same sign. However, if  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_1}\right)$  and  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_2}\right)$  are of opposite signs, then  $dn$  can be either positive or negative, depending on the magnitude of  $a$  and  $b$ .

2) Using the envelope theorem,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial p_i} = -\lambda x_i^*. \quad (1)$$

Assuming non-satiation (all of income is consumed),  $\lambda > 0$ ; assuming that the commodity is consumed ( $x_i^* > 0$ ) equation (1) implies that an increase in the price of a commodity decreases the level of utility – an obvious result.

Suppose that each worker owns one unit of labor. The only source of income in this model is labor income, as noted above, so  $y = w$ ; we can write  $V(p_1, p_2, y) = V(p_1, p_2, w)$ . The indirect utility function is homogenous of

degree 0 in prices and income, i.e. doubling all prices and income leaves the individual no better or worse off. Therefore we can write

$$V(p_1, p_2, y) = V(p_1, p_2, w) = V\left(\frac{p_1}{w}, \frac{p_2}{w}, \frac{w}{w}\right) \equiv v\left(\frac{p_1}{w}, \frac{p_2}{w}\right).$$

Equation (1) implies (under the assumptions of non-satiation and positive consumption) that

$$v_i \equiv \frac{\partial v}{\partial \left(\frac{p_i}{w}\right)} < 0.$$

(Here, and in (some) other contexts a subscript on a function denotes a partial derivative.) The change in  $v$  following an exogenous change in  $\frac{p_i}{w}$  is

$$dv = v_1 d\left(\frac{p_1}{w}\right) + v_2 d\left(\frac{p_2}{w}\right).$$

If  $d\left(\frac{p_i}{w}\right) < 0$  and  $d\left(\frac{p_j}{w}\right) \leq 0$  (so that  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_i}\right) > 0$  and  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_j}\right) \geq 0$ ), then  $dv > 0$ , i.e. utility increases. If we reverse the inequalities, utility falls. However, if  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_i}\right) > 0$  and  $d\left(\frac{w}{p_j}\right) < 0$  we have one positive and one negative effect. We cannot determine the sign of the change in utility,  $dv$ , without putting more structure on the problem, i.e. without putting additional restrictions on the utility function.