



interest rate to depart from Benford patterns. A quick Benford test would have pointed to LIBOR anomalies long before one of the colluding banks chose to own up.

Applying Benford's law is an example of a "price screen", a quick test that can help spot markets where pricing patterns are odd and warrant further investigation. Although competition authorities would ideally use detailed information on companies' prices and costs to identify collusion, since the ability to price far above cost is one measure of market power, information on firms' costs is hard to come by. Hence the value of tests that can be run quickly, across various markets, using just the data at hand.

Take the pattern of prices over time. In a competitive market, theory suggests prices are set close to firms' costs and track those costs over time. Because costs differ between firms, prices should as well. And since the prices of inputs are volatile over time, firms' selling prices should vary over time, too. Cartels change things. Prices rise far above costs, so small cost increases can simply be absorbed. Once prices are being set collusively, a cartel may not want to raise them further for fear of being caught. And even if a single cartel member does want to change prices, agreeing this with all the others is time-consuming. Colluders don't just raise prices; they tend to smooth them, too.

In a 2006 paper Ms Abrantes-Metz, Luke Froeb of Vanderbilt University, John Geweke of the University of Iowa and Christopher Taylor of the Federal Trade Commission used the price of fish sold to American military bases in the late 1980s to backtest the theory. A cartel had stitched up the market for cod, flounder, haddock and perch. When the cartel reigned, the prices of perch were oddly stable. But when providers began to compete, prices dropped by 16% and also became choppier, reflecting fluctuations in wholesale fish prices (see right-hand chart). A new paper on a generic-medicines cartel in Mexico found something similar.

Clever watchdogs

All this suggests that one way to direct scarce antitrust resources is to set up automatic scans of market prices, looking for excess price stability. Watchdogs are already moving in this direction. In Italy a screen applied to pharmacies spotted a problem with baby-food prices. In America bids for stimulus-funded projects are checked for signs of collusion. But like any statistical test, scans for prices that are too stable can give "false positives", implicating firms whose prices are flat for innocent reasons.

The use of mapping data can refine these tests. In a 2012 paper Pim Heijnen and Marco Haan, both of Groningen University, and Adriaan Soetevent of Amsterdam University analysed location and price data for around 3,300 Dutch petrol stations. Their first step was to calculate the distribution of petrol prices and label the most stable as suspicious. The next step was to use location data and maps. If the cause of smooth prices was something innocent (lazy managers, say) then suspect stations should have been randomly scattered across the country. But they weren't. Stable pricing patterns often appeared in clusters, suggesting local collusion. Using data in this way promises much. The only problem is that as the watchdogs get better at knowing where to look, cartels are bound to improve at disguising their activities.

Source

"The Law of Anomalous Numbers", by Frank Benford, Proceedings of the American Philosophy Society 78, 551-572 (1938)

"A Variance Screen for Collusion", by Rosa Abrantes-Metz, Luke Froeb, John Geweke, Christopher Taylor, International Journal of Industrial Organisation Volume 24, Issue 3, May 2006, Pages 467–486

"Tracking the Libor Rate", by Rosa Abrantes-Metz, Sofia Villas-Boas, and George Judge, Applied Economics Letters (2011)

"Fact and Fiction in EU-Governmental Economic Date", by Bernhard Rauch, Max Göttsche, Gernot Brähler and Stefan Engel, German Economic Review, Volume 12, Issue 3, pages 243–255

"Screening for Collusion: A Spatial Statistics Approach", by Pim Heijnen, Marco Haany and Adriaan Soeteventz, Tinbergen Institute Discussion Papers (2012)

"Mexican Experience in Screens for Bid-Rigging", Carlos Mena-Labarthe, CPI Antitrust Chronicle (2012)



Latest blog posts - All times are GMT



The Economist: Digital highlights, December 15th 2012 Newsbook - 42 mins ago



Travel adverts: Best on the air, but best in the air? Gulliver - 1 hour 31 mins ago





Monetary policy: Bernanke's two-footed driving Free exchange - 1 hour 55 mins ago



Daily chart: Fishy pricing Graphic detail - 2 hours 16 mins ago



Demographics: Nonsense nonsense crisis Free exchange - Dec 13th, 13:35



Czech politics: Jan Fischer's bid for the presidency Eastern approaches - Dec 13th. 12:10

Europe's banking union: A measly



triumph Schumpeter - Dec 13th, 12:06



Remembering Ravi Shankar: The godfather of world music Prospero - Dec 13th, 11:46



Regulating the internet: Wicked groupedit Babbage - Dec 13th, 06:49



Anniversary of the Nanjing massacre: Ebbs and flows of history Analects - Dec 13th, 06:27

More from our blogs »

Products & events

Stay informed today and every day Get e-mail newsletters Subscribe to *The Economist's* free e-mail newsletters and alerts.



